

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached sheet(s) of drawings includes changes to Figure 1.

Attachment:      Replacement sheet  
                         Annotated sheet showing changes

### **REMARKS**

Claims 23-31 and 33-41 are pending examination. Claims 1-22 and 32 were previously cancelled and claim 42 is newly cancelled. Claims 43-44 stand withdrawn. The Specification, Abstract and Drawings have been amended. No new matter is added by virtue of the within amendments; support therefor can be found throughout the specification and in the original claims.

As an initial matter, it is noted that the undersigned Attorney contacted the Examiner concerning a discrepancy in the Office Action as to the allowable claims. In particular, on the Office Action Summary page, claims 1-31 and 33 are indicated as being allowed; at page 6 of the Office Action, it is indicated that claims 1-41 are allowable. As the Office Action itself is understood, claim 42 is the only claim objected to (with claims 1-22 and 32 having been cancelled previously). In any event, the Examiner confirmed the undersigned Attorney's understanding by phone that **claims 23-31 and 33-41** are allowable.

It is believed that the within amendments place the application in condition for allowance.

#### **Objections to the Specification**

The specification is objected to for not containing proper sectional headings or a description of the drawings. The specification has been amended to include proper sectional headings along with a brief description of the drawings, thus rectifying these informalities. The newly added description of Figure 1 finds support at least in the detailed description of the invention which begins on page 7, line 18, of the application.

The specification is further objected to for reference to particular claims at page 3, lines 15-19. The specification has been amended to remove the reference, thus rectifying the informality.

### Objection to the Abstract

The Abstract is objected to for exceeding 150 words. The Abstract has been replaced with a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the application, thus rectifying the informality.

### Objection to the Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. In particular, the Office Action indicates that the process of cutting the biological material together with the UV laser light absorbing transparent film must be shown or the feature(s) cancelled from the claim(s).

Without acquiescing to the grounds for the rejection, an amended Figure 1 is submitted which shows an exemplary application of the UV laser cutting the biological material together with the UV laser light absorbing transparent film. This amendment is amply supported throughout the specification and claims and adds no new matter to the application. Withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is therefore requested.

### Claim Objections

Claim 42 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim (claim 23). Claim 42 is cancelled, thus rectifying this informality.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

### **FEE AUTHORIZATION**

No fees are believed to be due. However, should any fees be asserted, the Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees (or credit any overpayment) to Deposit Account No. 04-1105, Reference No. 62514(45107).

Dated: January 15, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Christine C. O'Day/  
Christine C. O'Day  
Registration No.: 38,256  
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE  
LLP  
P.O. Box 55874  
Boston, Massachusetts 02205  
(617) 517-5558  
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Attachments